(ग) यदि उपर्युक्त भाग (क) का उत्तर "हां" हो, तो सरकार द्वारा दोषी व्यक्तियों की पहचान करने के पश्चात् की गयी कार्यवाही का ब्यौरा क्या है ?

शहरी विकास मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री एम० अरूणाचलम) :(क) जी, हां।

(ख) और (ग) स्वर्वित पोषित योजना के अन्तर्गत निर्माण में देरी के लिए आबंटियों को वर्ष 1987-88 से 1989-90 के लिए दी जा रही तयाकथित ब्याज की राशि पर स्रोत पर कर की कटौती के बारे में आयकर विभाग द्वारा 3.95 करोड़ रूपये की राशि जब्त की गई है।

दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण ने आयकर विभाग की इस कार्यवाही के खिलाफ आ. कर न्यायाधिकरण में अपील की है। दोषी अधिकारियों का पता लगाने के लिए एक जांच कराई गई थी और जांच रिपोर्ट पर कार्यवाही प्रारंभ कर दी गई है।

Refusal of Govt, accommodation to alli'tees on account of non payment of overstay dues

1701. SHRI RAM NARESH YADAV: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Type-I Quarter Nos. E-333 and C-91 Kidwai Nagar and K-196, Clive Square were allotted and given occupation without issuing demand letter of the charges for overstay; if so, the reasons therefor and what was the amount of overstaying charges involved in each case and the number of instal ments permitted in each case;

(b) what is the number of Type-I Quar ters allotted on the 27th February, 1991 but retention orders were not issued to the allottees so far, if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) wheher it is also a fact that Type-I Quarter No. K-196, Clive Square was allotted on 22nd June, 1989 but the allot ment papers of the said quarter were seized by the Estate Office and the occu pation slip was also not given on the ground that the overstay charges were not deposited in lump sum on the same day i.e. on 27th Tune, 1989; and

(d) if so, what are the reasons theref»r and why did the Government not pemit-ted the allottee to deposit the huge an ount of Rs. 23,000/- in instalments?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THI MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOP MENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a (i) In respect of Or. No. E-333. Kidwa Nagar, the quarter was regularised in the name of the dependent after realising the Government dues amounting to Rs. 707/ for the period of overstay.

(ii) Qr. No. C-91, Kidwai Nagar wa in the Rajya Sahha Sectt. Po al. This quar ter was taken on transfer KO the Genera Pool for regularisation in the name o the dependent of the allottee who wa entitled for a General Pool Accommoda tion and a similar quarter was placed in thi Rajya Sabha Pool subsequently. Since thi Quarter was in Rajya Sabha Sectt. Poo] the amount of demages for the period o overstay was not known to the Dte. o Estates. Therefore, the quarter was regu. Iarised on 14-9-1990 without insisting fo payment of damages for the period c overstary. Subsequently, however, the Rajy Sabha Sectt, informed that the amount c damages for the period of overstay ha been deposited with the Rajya Sabha Sect

(iii) Qr. No. K-196, Clive Square wa regularised in the name of the dependei with the condition that the damage amounting to Rs. 7,136/- would be pai in instalments. Competent authority c& order the dues *o be recovered in instal ments in deserving cases and in cases o real hardship.

(b) 28 type-I quarters were allotted o: 27th. February, 1991. Out of this, 2 were accepted and occupied by the pei sons to whom these were allotted. Tw< quarters were not accepted by the allottee to whom these were offered on persona grounds.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) The question does not arise in view of answer to part (c) above .